

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
TERRE HAUTE DIVISION

ROBERT DAVID NEAL,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
vs.)	2:12-cv-317-JMS-DKL
)	
JOHN C. OLIVER,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

Order Denying Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment

The petitioner's motion to alter or amend judgment [dkt. 33] is treated as labeled in relation to final judgment issued on July 1, 2013, and as so treated is **denied**. The reason for this ruling is that the action was properly dismissed for the reasons explained in the Entry of July 1, 2013. *Harrington v. City of Chicago*, 433 F.3d 542, 546 (7th Cir. 2006) (AAltering or amending a judgment under Rule 59(e) is permissible when there is newly discovered evidence or there has been a manifest error of law or fact.®)(citing *Bordelon v. Chicago Sch. Reform Bd. of Trs.*, 233 F.3d 524, 529 (7th Cir. 2000)).


IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 07/22/2013

Distribution:

Robert David Neal
#15151-180
Terre Haute USP
Inmate Mail/Parcels
P.O. Box 33
Terre Haute, IN 47808

Electronically Registered Counsel



Hon. Jane Magnus-Stinson, Judge
United States District Court
Southern District of Indiana